Invisible Champion FOYL'D:

OR, AN

APPENDIX

To the late

Narrative of the Siege of Derry:

WHEREIN

All the Arguments offered in a late Pamphlet to prove it a False LIBEL, are EXAMIND and REFUTED.

By JOHN MACKENZIE, Publisher of the said Narrative.

LONDON,

Printed for the Author, and Sold by the Booksellers of *London*. 1690.

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY 1970 BRADSHAW COLL.

An Invisible Champion

FOYLD:

OR AN

APPENDIX

To the late

Narrative of the Siege of Derry:

07-3843

WHEREIN

All the Arguments offered in a late Pamphlet to prove it a Falſe LIBEL, are EXAMIND and REFUTED.

By JOHN MACKENZIE, Publiſher of the ſaid Narrative.

LONDON,

Printed for the Author, and Sold by the Booksellers of London. 1692.

Dr. *WALKER*'s Invisible Champion FOYL'D, &c.

I Am sorry to find Dr. *Walker*'s Reputation sunk so low, that his Friend who undertakes his Defence, dare not publish his Name. For since he dare not, and yet makes so bold with other Mens Reputations, he looks much more like a Libeller than one who by owning what he writes, renders himself accountable to the Publick for the Truth of it. And if I may make any conjecture about my Accuser, either from this disingenuous Practice, or from his admirable Style and Humour (which are all of a piece with it,) 'tis the same Gentleman that wrote Remarks on Mr. *Osborn*'s Vindication: But I fear he will have as little success in disproving what he calls a Libel against Dr. *Walker*, as he had in justifying, or rather palliating the Doctors real Libel against Mr. *Osborn*. And as Mr. *B.* was better employed than to throw away his time in refuting such a heap of falshoods as his former Scribble contain'd, dress'd up in a medley of *Grub-street* and *Billings-gate*, so thou'd I have serv'd this, if he had not endeavour'd to amuse the World with some shew of Proof for the few things he has to say against my Narrative.

'Tis no inconsiderable Argument of the Truth of that Relation, that one whose Inclination and Interest led him to take so much pains to blast the Credit of it, has produc'd no greater Objections against it. There being not above two or three passages, and only one of any moment in the Narrative it self that he ventures to nibble at: For the greatest part of his Powder and Shot is spent against a passage or two in the Preface, where he tells us the Venom lies. But how little execution he has done either on the Preface, or on the Narrative, will appear by a particular examination of all that's material in his Certificates about 'em: For the scurrilous Humour which he will needs without any occasion given him vent against Religion, I think it unworthy of my notice.

I have said in the Preface to my Narrative, That that part of it which gives an Account of the Siege of *Derry* it self, was offer'd by me to be review'd by such of the Officers of *Derry* as are now in Town, several of whom, as Collonel *Crofton*, Collonel *Murray*, Lieutenant Collonel *Blair*, Capt. *Alexander Sanderson*, &c. having heard it read in the presence of Sir *Arthur Rawdon*, Sir *Arthur Langford*, Collonel *Upton*, and several other Gentlemen, and being desir'd upon every material Paragraph to object against any thing either misrepresented or omitted in that Relation, freely profess'd their assent to it.

Here are two things asserted, That that part of the Narrative was offer'd to be review'd by such of the Officers of *Derry* as were then in Town: And that several of 'em, particularly those named, had heard it read, and freely profess'd their Assent to it.

Against which, after abundance of such language as is suitable to his Breeding, he produces three Certificates; and I doubt not but he may obtain many more of the same kind from the like Persons.

Against the former Assertion he brings a Certificate of 17. called *Derry* Officers, then in Town, who declare, *They never saw my Narrative before it was printed, and do not now approve of it*, p. 3, 4.

Now if he please to put on his Spectacles, and compare the words of the Preface with those of this Certificate a little better, He may perhaps be able to discern, that the contradicti-

on betwixt 'em lay only in his Imagination, That it might be offer'd to be review'd by those who had not leisure or inclination to be present at the reading of it. And that it was actually offer'd to be review'd by the Chief Officers of the Regiments he mentions; and that they were desir'd to bring any others with 'em that they thought capable of giving me any Information, appears by the following Certificate.

WE whose Names are subscribed, do hereby Certifie, That on the 28th *of* February *last past, we consented and agreed with Coll.* Murray, *Coll.* Hamil, *Lieutenant Coll.* Blair, *and Capt.* Sanderson, *to meet at the* Fountain *Tavern in the* Strand *on the next day, being the first of* March, *to peruse that part of Mr.* Mackenzie's *Narrative which related to the Siege of* London-Derry, *and desired the said Gentlemen to inform Coll.* Crofton, *and any other Officers they knew in* London, *that were capable to give any account of the said Seige, all which Persons might have liberty to hear the said Account, and be admitted to make any objections thereto before it was printed. Witness our hands this* 22d *of* May, 1690.

Art. Langford.
Will. Conyngham.

And now let the Reader judge, whether the everlasting Shame with which he wou'd charitably brand me on this occasion, be not much more due to his Ignorance or Malice, or both. I wou'd here only add, That whether these 17 Persons mention'd in this Certificate approve the Narrative or no, I think my self little concern'd, unless they had shew'd me any mistakes in it. Some of 'em it may be did very good Service; but for others of 'em perhaps they may dislike it, because it makes no more mention of themselves: But that was not any defect of mine, but their misfortune, in having done nothing more memorable; besides, some of them are not in the list of Officers, which I had from the only Store-keeper: But it is fit to return them as such, that they may do some service.

Against the latter Assertion he produces two Certificates, one of Collonel *Crofton's*, that I did not read all that part that related to *Derry*, (he shou'd have said the Siege of *Derry*,) and that he objected several things against it, and does not assent to, or approve of it. Another of Captain *Sanderson's*, that he did not assent to two particulars, viz. The Articles against Dr. *Walker*, and the discouraging Sermon, not [illegible] any thing of 'em.

For Captain *Sanderson's* Certificate, 'Tis no way contradictory to the true intent of the Preface, which in asserting their Assent to the Narrative, cannot be reasonably thought to imply, they personally knew the Truth of every particular related in it. 'Tis sufficient that there was no passage they cou'd object against the Truth of: But how little regard is due to either of these Certificates, so far as they contradict what is said in the Preface to the Narrative, will appear by the Testimony of the following Gentlemen then present, whose Credit must be allow'd by all that know 'em sufficient to overpoize theirs in this matter.

WE whose Names are subscribed, do Certifie, That that part of Mr. John Mackenzie's *Narrative, which relates to the Siege of* London-Derry, *(particularly the passages now controverted in the Pamphlet, intituled, Mr.* Mackenzie's *Narrative a false Libel) was (before the printing of it) on the first of* March *last, at the* Fountain *Tavern in the* Strand, *read in our presence and hearing, before Coll.* Murray, *Coll.* Crofton, *Lieutenant Coll.* Blair, *Captain* Alexander Sanderson, *and Captain* Samuel Murray, *late Officers at* Derry, *who being frequently desired and urged while it was a reading to object freely against any thing either misrepresented or omitted in that Relation; and to that purpose several stops and pauses being made at the end of material Paragraphs, told us that where they made no Objection, we might take it for their assent to what was read, and accordingly there were but two or three passages about which any doubt was raised, and even as to those, the Objectors upon hearing the Debates about them, acquiesc'd in the Evidence given by others for the truth of them; particularly Coll.* Crofton, *and Captain* Sanderson *owned the change of the Government* (page 30.) *to be truly represented; the former also owned that there were such Articles against Dr.* Walker, *and the latter denyed not his having heard frequently of them. Given under our hands this* 8th *of* May, 1690.

Jo. Cuningham.

Arth. Rawdon.
Art. Langford.
Arthur Upton.
David Cairnes,
Sam. Bull.
W. Cuningham.
Joh. Abernethy
J. Boyse.

I must add, That this Certificate from Coll. *Crofton* is the more strange, because he not only confirm'd what is said of Coll. Lewis, Dr. *W.* and

and Major General *Kirk*, which he has also since own'd before Serjeant *Osborn*, and other Gentlemen, but acquainted us with some passages that on his Information were inserted: Nor do any then present remember any material Objections he made, except one, which was against the Meeting, (which at Coll. *Lundy's* perswasions sign'd a Paper of Surrender) being call'd a Council; but he cou'd not deny what is said of 'em to be true; and whatever Names he wou'd now assign 'em, they then call'd themselves a Council. And now for the civil Language, of a Spirit of Lying, brassy Impudence, bold Asseverations, gross Prevarication, studied and deliberate Lye, *&c.* which this Pamphleteer uses on this occasion; the Reader will better understand where to apply it.

For the Articles against Dr. *W.* I need no other Instance of this Gentleman's extraordinary Confidence, than his saying they were never exhibited but in my Narrative. 'Tis a sign he is an entire Stranger to *Derry*, that has the face to deny what was so publickly known there, and there are yet so many living Witnesses of. But as this Advocate of Dr. *W*—'s, has said nothing to disprove the Articles themselves, so his big words about 'em do not much affright me. Dr. *W.* will I suppose be wiser than to offer himself to a fair Trial upon 'em; And whether in such a Trial he be cleared or cast, concerns not the truth of my Narrative, which only affirms such Articles were drawn up, and a considerable number of Officers engaged to prosecute him upon 'em, till Governour *Baker* diverted 'em, by offering to put the Government into the hands of the Council of 14. whereof he was made President: And 'tis not probable, they would undertake to bring such a charge against him without strong presumptions of the Truth of it.

The next thing which this Pamphleteer falls upon, is to prove Dr. *W.* Governour of the Garrison as well as the Stores: That he was Governour of the Stores, and therein Assistant to Governour *Baker*, is asserted in the Narrative, and no way denyed in the Preface: But that the care of the Military Affairs of the Garrison was committed to him, or that he shewed himself a *Hero* in the management of 'em, is not asserted in the Narrative, because it is not true, and therefore the Preface does but justly expose his pretensions to it.

Now to prove Dr. *W.* Governour of the Garrison, as to the Military Affairs of it as well as Governour of the Stores: Here are 3 Certificates produc'd, one sign'd by 17, call'd *Derry*-Officers, another by Captain *Hamer*, and a 3d by Mr. *Squire* (whom he calls the present Mayor.)

On which I need only make the following Reflections, to shew the insufficiency of 'em, to the end for which they are brought.

1. He has not (tho great pains were taken to that purpose) produc'd the Testemony of one Officer for what he asserts concerning Dr. *W's.* being chosen Governour, that was present to vote at the Election of Governour *Baker* on the 19th of *April*. For Collonel *Croston*, I can shew him a Paper under his own hand, wherein he affirms that Collonel *Baker* was sole Governour of the Garrison. What Lieutenant Coll. *Blair* has said of that matter, will appear in its due place: And for Collonel *Murray*, he did to the Doctors Face deny him to have been Governour of the Garrison, before the Committe of his Majesties Privy Council, Coll. *Hamil*, and Lieut. Coll. *Blair*, being then present, and not offering to contradict what he said, tho the Dr. made his appeal to 'em. And sure these Gentlemen that sign this Certificate, cannot pretend to know that matter better than those who were present when it was transacted. And even some of these very Persons have frequently declared the quite contrary to what they here certifie, as Capt. *Macullagh*, Capt. *Watson*, &c. so little regard is due to their Assertions, who are so little constant to themselves.

2. 'Tis strange that neither these 17 Persons nor the Pamphleteer for 'em, should offer the least syllable to invalidate the Truth of those matters of fact which plainly overthrow what they here certifie.

I might upon a little more leisure have produc'd the Testimonies of more of those present at Governour *Bakers* Election, for the Truth of what is there related; but I need 'em not while the matters of Fact there mentioned stand uncontradicted. 'Tis strange that Dr. *W.* shou'd be chosen Governour of the Garrison, and the Military Affairs of it, when he did not so much as stand a Candidate with Coll. *Baker*, and the other two Competitors; nay when he was not so much as present till after Coll. *Baker* was elected Governour, and the Regiments were concluded on: And as it is in it self a most improbable thing, that they should in their circumstances, while their Safety entirely depended on their Union, set up two Governours entrusted with equal Power, and much more that they shou'd commit the Military Affairs to one who they knew cou'd not pretend to the least Skill or Conduct in 'em; so the falshood of it

is evident from the very reason alledged by Coll. *Baker* for desiring an Assistant, and from what he spoke on that occasion, and from the Nomination of his Assistant being left to himself. Besides the frequent Attempts of Dr. *W.* to assume more to himself, and the vehement opposition he still met with in all of 'em, * plainly shew the Vanity of his pretensions: For if he was Governour of the Garrison, he was such a one whose Authority was so very insignificant and contemptible in it, that were it not for the liberal Reward that Name has so luckily produc'd him, he had better never laid any claim to it: But with the good leave of the Writer of the Epistle, annexed to the end of that Pamphlet, these passages do not meerly prove that *his Government was opposed*, as he wou'd now gladly colour the matter, but that his pretensions to the Military part of it were rejected with disdain by the major part of the Garrison, who were forc'd by such rude treatment to check his busie confident Humour, in intermedling with more than belong'd to his Province.

* *See Narrat.* p. 37, 38. 44.

3. 'Tis yet more strange, That these 17 Persons shou'd never acquaint us with the manner of his being chosen Governour as to the Military Affairs, nor give us the least Instance of one thing done by him in pursuance of his Trust as such a Governour.

These 17 Persons speak not one syllable of the manner of his being chosen Governour: But perhaps that defect may be thought abundantly supplied in the Certificate of *Gervase Squire*, Esq; which I shall set down at length, that what I shall offer to invalidate it may appear the more plain and unexceptionable.

I *Do hereby Certifie, that Dr.* George Walker, *during all the time of the Siege of the City of* London-Derry, *and until Major General* Kirk *came into the said City, executed the Office and Place of Governour of the same joint with Collonel* Henry Baker, *until the said* Bakers *leaving (of which he dis'd) and after with Collonel* Jon. Mitchelburn, *who was in a general meeting of the Field, and other Officers of the said Garrison, elected to act as Governour in the said* Bakers *place, (during his sickness) as well in all things relating to Military Affairs, as in seeing the Provisions gathered and distributed; the management of the Provisions to the best advantage, was a great means by which the said City held out so long: And I do also certifie, that it being agreed upon by the said Governours and Council, that I should administer an Oath of Fidelity (then agreed upon to be taken by the said Governours and Council,) I administred the said Oath to the said Dr. Walker, and Coll. Baker, as Governours of the said City (the said Dr. Walker having the Precedency) as well as to the Members of the said Council, all which I am ready to depose upon Oath, if required: And I do further certifie, that I never saw a Pamphlet entituled, A Narrative of the Siege of* London-Derry, *or any part of it, published by Mr.* John Mackenzie, *until after it was printed; and having perused it since, I do not approve of it. Witness my hand the* 9th *day of* April, 1690.

Ger. Squire, *Mayor.*

I shall not now insist on it, That as Mr. *Squire* was not then Mayor of that City; so his Reputation is not of so great weight as this Pamphleteer wou'd in kindness to Dr. *Walker* make it: But I shall by clearing those matters of Fact which this Certificate gives a very confused Account of, shew its weakness and falsehood.

To this purpose the Reader must know, That neither Coll. *Baker*, nor Dr. *W.* were sworn at all at the time of their Election, *viz.* the 19th day of *April*, (nor indeed any of those that were then made Collonels.) The occasion of their being sworn was this: The greatest part of the Officers in the Garrison were in *May* extreamly jealous of the Treacherous Designs of Dr. *W.* and to a high degree disgusted with Governour *Baker* himself for giving so much ear to the advice of one, of whose Integrity they had so deep a suspition. Governour *Baker* to remove all occasion of their fears, and give them full satisfaction in that matter, agreed to the Motion of putting the Government into the hands of a Council of 14. of which they were contented Governour *Baker* shou'd be the President. (every Regiment deputing one to sit in it, and both City and Countrey having some to represent 'em.) And if Mr. *Squire* had pleas'd to acquaint us what the Oath was which he administred, it wou'd have clear'd the whole Affair. For it was no more than this, *That they shou'd be true to the Garrison, and have no Treaty with the Enemy, without the Knowledge and Order of that Council.* Nor was there any difference in the Oath as taken by Governour *Baker*, by Dr. *W.* or any other Member of the Council. Now when this Council of 14. had the Government put into their hands, when Coll. *Baker* was the only President of it, when Dr. *W.* had no more power than any other Member in it (his Concern in the Scores excepted)

day when the Oath Administred to all of 'em was the same, with what face can this Gentleman pretend to have Administred this Oath to Governour *Baker* and Dr. *Walker* as Governours of the said City? Nay to have allow'd D. *Walker* the Precedency, who was not President of the Council? For if he were sworn first in these Circumstances, it could be no other than a meer complement paid to his gown; for the precedency was evidently due to Coll. *Baker*, and Mr. *Squire* cou'd not (whatever he might intend) by Administring that Oath to Mr. *Walker* make him any more a Governour of the Garrison, than each Member of that Council might as justly pretend to be. Note here, that Mr. *Squire* in this Certificate mentions not one word of Dr. *Walkers* being chosen Governour.

Having said so much to Mr. *Squire's*, I need say the less to Capt. *Bennet's* Certificate. For not to mention the Obscurity of his Expressions concerning Dr. *Walker's* Governourship, 'tis strange that he shou'd not only insinuate that Collonel *Baker* and Dr. *Walker* were Sworn at the time of their Election; but that this was during his stay there, both which are notoriously false. The Election was on the 19th. of *April*: The Oath was Administred about the latter end of *May*; And both by Dr. *Walker's* Account, and the Relation that's said to be publish't by himself, Captain *Bennet* left *Derry* about 23d. or 24th. of *April*. And so insignificant is his Certificate, as well as false, that were all true that he saith concerning Dr. *Walker's* signing any Writings with Collonel *Baker* to Lieutenant General *Hamilton*, or giving him a little Money to bear his Charges, or being call'd a Governour in the *Irish* Army: 'Tis all consistent enough with his being Governour of the Stores only, if we consider his forwardness, and Collonel *Baker's* Complaisance. And Captain *Bennet* might by these weak Arguments, be as easily led to fancy that Dr. *Walker* was chosen Governour of the Garrison, as he was that Collonel *Murray* was chosen the General of their Forces, because he usually led 'em out in their Sallyes, as is asserted in the printed Relation which is known to be Mr. *Bennet's*. But that the Reader may the better conjecture what it was indeed that moved Captain *Bennet* to Sign such a paper for Dr. *Walker*, do but observe the following Certificate from two of *Derry* Officers.

WE whose Names are Subscribed, do Certifie, that about the 20th. *of* April *last, being in Company with Captain* Joseph Bennet *in the City of* London, *and Discourse arose about his and our going for* Ireland, *he demanded whether we had own'd or approv'd of Mr.* John Mackenzies *Narrative of the Siege of* London-derry, *declaring to us, that if we did, we needed not go for* Ireland *with any expectation of Employment in the Army there; nor any who would not express their dislike or disapproving of the same, by reason of Major General* Kirks *and Doctor* Walkers *Influence there, or words to that purpose. As witness our Hands this* 12th. *Day of* June. 1690.

Samuel Murray.
Alexander Heron.

And as none of the Certificates alledge any thing done by Dr. *Walker* as Governour in respect of the Military Affairs, so I wou'd desire his Advocate to give us some Instances of that kind, which methinks 'twere an easie matter to have done, if his pretensions were true. For I hope they will not allow him to have been a meer Cypher in that Station; And a few such Instances would have signified more than all these Certificates. And one would think, as my Narrative mentions, so many things done by Collonel *Baker* as plainly shew, that he had the Conduct of their Military Affairs: So Dr. *Walkers* account shou'd furnish us with the like Evidence of his sharing with him in that part of the Government. I have to that purpose review'd his Narrative, and shall (to supererogate for once) take the pains to examine all the passages that give the least ground to imagine that the Military Affairs of the Garrison were under his Conduct.

In *p.* 21. of Dr. *Walker's* Account, 'tis said, the Governours divide the Outline into 8 parts, and each Regiment had it's own ground, and each Company knew their own Bastion — The Drummers were enjoyn'd to quarter in one House.

Now the Division of the Outline was made by the Officers themselves; and the quartering the Drummers in one House, was the contrivance of Governour *Mitchelburn*, and that not till the last Month of the Siege. And the enjoyning all parties to forget their Distinctions, *&c.* and to betake themselves to their several Devotions, mentioned in the same page, was an Order I never heard of in *Derry*. But those who have so liberally given Dr. *Walker* the Conduct of our Military Affairs, might very well (to carry on the Humour) give him the Conduct of our Ecclesiastical too, and make him Bishop, as well as Governour of the City.

In *p.* 14. Mr. *Walker* is said to have found it neces-

neceſſary to Mount one of the Horſes, to make our flying Horſe rally, and to relieve Collonel *Murray*.

Now tho' this grand Feat it ſelf be no very convincing Argument of his Governourſhip; becauſe it might be done by him as Collonel of a Regiment, (it being more proper for a Collonel, than a Governour to expoſe himſelf to ſo Eminent hazards) yet the Credit of it is much more ſpoyl'd by the unhappy Diſputes that have ariſen about the matter of Fact. For ſome have ſtarted ſuch croſs queſtions about the Armour of the Rider, as well as the Colour of the Horſe, as I fear will go near to diſmount the Doctor in the next Edition of that Account, and leave Collonel *Murray* to get off as well as he can without him.

In *p.* 26. Mr. *Walker* is ſaid to draw a Detachment of 10 Men out of each Company, and (after putting 'em into the beſt order their impatience could allow) to Sally out at the Head of them, with all imaginable ſilence at *Ferry-key-gate*.

Now I have heard indeed, that Governour *Baker* and other Officers were about to Detach ſuch a number of every Company; But the Souldiers were too eager to wait any of thoſe Formalities, and ran out in what order beſt pleas'd themſelves. But I never was inform'd before, that Mr. *Walker* was ſo fooliſhly prodigal of his Life, as to Sally out at the Head of 'em: For if he did ſo, it was not only with all imaginable ſilence, but with ſo wonderful Secrecy too, as to be neither ſeen nor heard by any of thoſe that are ſaid to follow him. No he underſtood his Poſt in the Stores too well to expoſe his perſon in any of the Sallyes. And therefore the Gentleman that wrote the Vindication of his Account might have ſpar'd that long and Learned Apology he has made for the Doctor, as if in the Siege he had been forc't to do ſo many things inconſiſtent with the Character of a Clergyman. For as to the Enemy, he was a Man of Peace all the time, and was guilty of Shedding no other Blood to Stain his Coat with, but that of the grape.

In *p.* 26. 'Tis ſaid the Enemy hung out a White Flag, to invite us to a Treaty, and Mr. *Walker* ventur'd out to come within hearing of the Lord of *Louth* and Collonel *Oneal*, and in his paſſage, had 100 ſhot fir'd at him, but he got the ſhelter of a Houſe, and upbraided 'em with this perfidious dealing, and bid 'em order their Men to be quiet, or he would command all the Guns on the Walls to be fir'd at 'em.

Now beſides that Dr. *Walker* might be ſent on a Treaty without being Governour of the Garriſon, (as ſeveral others were;) I have heard ſome incredulous people ſay, that the ſmoak of the 100 ſhot was as inviſible as the flying of the Bullets; and they could not imagine whereabouts the Houſe ſtood (all without the Gates, next the *Iriſh* Camp, being pull'd down) that ſo happily yielded the Doctor a ſafe ſhelter, till he cou'd call to the Men on the Walls to fire the Guns at theſe Treacherous Villains.

In *p.* 32. 'Tis but barely aſſerted (and without the leaſt ground) that Collonel *Mitchelburn* was appointed during Governour *Baker*'s Sickneſs to Aſſiſt Governour *Walker*, that while the one commanded in the Sallyes, the other might take care of the Town.

On the contrary, Collonel *Mitchelburn* was Deputed by Governour *Baker* to his own Poſt, and conſequently Mr. *Walker* was only his Aſſiſtant, and as I preſume that Dr. *Walker* will no more pretend to have Commanded in the Sallyes, ſo the Garriſon by this time underſtood him too well to lay any great ſtreſs on his care of the Town.

In *p.* 33. 'Tis ſaid by the Contrivance of our Governour and Collonel *Mitchelburn*, *&c.* we Countermine the Enemy before *Butcher's-Gate*.

'Tis a ſign how he minded thoſe Affairs, that talks of our Counter-mining the Enemy: For neither they nor we ever drew a Mine. We did indeed Counter-line 'em, but this was purely Governour *Mitchelburn*'s Contrivance, and 'twas a double injury in Dr. *Walker*, at once to rob him of the ſole Honour of that Action, and the Title of Governour too.

In *p.* 37, 38. There is a pleaſant ſtory about the Suſpitions of the Garriſon concerning Dr. *Walker*, occaſioned by ſome Diſcourſe of one Mr. *Cole*, whom Dr. *Walker* is ſaid to have confin'd on the account of it, *&c.*

Now the ſtory is ſtrangely miſplac't at the end of the Siege; whereas Captain *Cole* came into Town about the 9*th.* or 12*th.* of *May*: And he was confin'd by Governour *Baker*, not Mr. *Walker*; and that not for any Diſcourſes againſt Mr. *Walker*; but on Suſpition of his being an Agent for the Enemy, among whom he had been detained for ſome time. And ſo far were the Garriſon from being brought to a better Opinion of Mr. *Walker* by any thing Mr. *Cole* diſcovered (as is here idlely ſuggeſted) that ſoon after in the ſame Month, the Articles againſt him were drawn up.

And yet theſe are the only paſſages in that Account, that carry any colour of an Argument for

for Dr. *Walker*'s being Governour as to the Military Affairs. And ſure if he was ſuch a Governour, he was not ſo extremely modeſt, but he might have given us ſome true Inſtances of his Military Conduct, eſpecially when he had the confidence to impoſe upon the World ſo many miſtaken ones. Likewiſe the Doctor will have Captain *Darcy* to be priſoner in *Derry*, and one of the Signers of the Letter, with the Lord *Netterville*, &c. to Lieut. General *Hamilton*, the beginning of *July*, when it is certain, the ſaid *Darcy* left *Derry* before *May*, 3 or 4 days, Mr. *Walker* being privy to it.

And as theſe three Conſiderations are ſufficient to blaſt the Credit of theſe three Certificates, ſo far as they contradict either the Narrative or the Preface, ſo for the three Letters annext, *viz.* of Captain *Alexander Sanderſons*, Lieutenant Collonel *Blair*'s, and from the Officers of Collonel *Lance*'s Regiment, I ſhall only ſay, that as they were written to Dr. *Walker*, to beg his Recommendation of 'em, ſo they only give him the Name of Governour, (which the Narrative owns was often given him, as Collonel *Baker*'s Aſſiſtant in reference to the Stores.) And theſe Letters are not the only Evidence, how fulſom Complements, neceſſity and hope of Preferment (eſpecially hearing that nothing was to be done at Court for them, but by Dr. *Walker*'s Intereſt) has drawn from too many of 'em, to one of whom they expreſt other thoughts before. For Captain *Alexander Sanderſon*, how particularly he approv'd what the Narrative relates concerning the change of the Government, was before obſerved from the Teſtimony of ſuch as were preſent. For Lieutenant Collonel *Blair*, that the Title of Governour wherewith he Complemented Dr. *Walker*, was never intended by him any otherwiſe than I have explain'd, appears not only by the foreſaid Teſtimonial, but by the following Certificate alſo.

I do hereby Certifie, that about the 15th. *Day of* April *laſt, Lieutenant Collonel* Thomas Blair *declar'd to me in the preſence of Mr.* John Mackenzy, *that he was earneſtly Sollicited to Sign a Certificate contradictory to the ſaid Mr.* Mackenzie's *Narrative, and was threatned if he refus'd, with the danger he might be expos'd to by Major General* Kirk's, *and Dr.* Walker's *Intereſt, when he return'd to* Ireland: *But he refuſed to Sign any ſuch thing; he added alſo, that though he had in* Ireland *Subſcribed two Letters, one of his own, the other with ſome other Officers of his Regiment; wherein they had Complemented Dr.* Walker *with the Title of Governour, in hopes of being by his Intereſt put on the new Eſtabliſhment: Yet he knew, and was ready to give it under his Hand, that he acted only as Governour of the Stores. Given under my Hand this* 8th *of* May. 1690.

John Abernethy.

And as this Diſcourſe of the Lieut. Collonel's unriddles the whole Myſtery of theſe ſeeming contradictions, in what theſe Gent. write and ſpeak concerning Dr. *W.* ſo it gives a ſhrewd ſpecimen of the Artifices (of Threats as well as Flattery) us'd to ſuppreſs the plain Truth in theſe matters: For that ſuch methods have not been try'd with him alone, appears by ſo many of 'em having chang'd their Note ſoon after they came to this Town.

For the Argumens to prove Dr. *W*'s Governourſhip from its being own'd by the King, the Parliament, the Privy-Council, *London*, *Edinburgh*, *Glaſgow*, *Cambridge* and *Oxford*, I ſhall only add, that as I know not that they have own'd him Governour in any ſuch ſenſe as I have here oppos'd, (*viz.* with reference to the Military Affairs of the Garriſon) ſo if they have done it, 'tis a much ſtronger Proof of that Gentleman's extraordinary Confidence in impoſing ſuch a Miſtake on 'em, than of their Weakneſs in believing it at firſt, till better inform'd; but I ſuppoſe if he'll enquire better, he'll find ſeveral of 'em are undeceiv'd, and of another Opinion. And if on the ſcore of this ſmall Trick, and the admirable ſucceſs of it, he will needs compare Dr. *W.* with the *Engliſh Rogue*, *Spaniſh Guſman*, and *Craſty Clauſy*, he may (as being related to him) uſe the greater freedom with him; but moſt People will beg his Pardon for thinking it ſo great a piece of Wit to lead thoſe who had only his own Account of that Matter, and the Account of ſuch as deſignedly abetted him, into that Miſtake concerning him.

And ſo much for Dr. *W*'s Governourſhip.

The only material Paſſage that relates to the Narrative of the Siege againſt which the Pamphleteer has any thing to except, is the Article againſt Dr. *W.* for Embezelling the Stores, and the Order occaſion'd, thereby that his Note ſhou'd not be accepted by the Store-keepers, unleſs ſign'd by Gov. *Baker* or Major *Adams*. Againſt this he produces a long Certificate of Mr. *Curling*'s, wherein he affirms that Dr. *W.* neither cou'd nor did embezzle the Stores, and he never knew the Dr's Orders diſputed. Now Mr. *Curling* does not underſtand the meaning of that Article; for the Dr. was ſuſpected to have

embezzled the Stores by disposing of 'em when taken out of private Houses to his own advantage, without ever suffering 'em to come into the Store-keepers hands. And as my Narrative only affirms this to have been one of the Articles, so the Officers concern'd in drawing 'em up, thought themselves capable of proving it, and the Order mention'd was really made on that occasion; and if Mr. *Curling* himself never saw the Dr's Orders disputed, others as well as my self have several times seen his Note rejected by Mr. *Harvey* on this very Account, that neither Gov. *Baker's* nor Major *Adams* his Hand were annext to it, and after their Decease Governour *Mitchelburn's*.

But there is one dangerous Paragraph in that Certificate of Mr. *Curling's*, which I must take notice of, 'tis the last, in these words: *And lastly, I do declare that some Persons (concern'd in putting out this Pamphlet,* [viz. The Narrative.] *as I presume) since I came to* London, *did come to me, and would have drawn me by great Promises to have bespattered and abused the Reputation of the said Dr.* W.

Upon which Clause the Pamphleteer thus insults; "And do not your Ears tingle? are not "your Faces cover'd with a Blush, who have "plotted, caball'd and contriv'd such a scandalous Libel, and more calumniating Preface, "and now to hear that some of you have been "tampering to pervert Truth, and to add Sub"ornation to Bearing false Witness.

Here is a desperate Charge, but if he that brings it do not blush when I have clear'd this matter, it will be only because he has steel'd his Fore-head.

I hope none can be presum'd a better Interpreter of Mr. *Curling's* words than Mr. *Curling* himself, and what he meant by the Passage last quoted, will best appear by this Certificate of his own.

I Edward Curling *do hereby Declare, that whereas it is mentioned in a Certificate under my hand, dated the* 25th. *of* April, *which is inserted in the Pamphlet entituled* Mr. *John Mackenzie's* Narrative of the Siege of *London-derry* a false Libel, *&c. that some Persons since I came to* London *did come to me, and would have drawn me by great Promises to have bespattered and abused the Reputation of Dr.* George Walker, *that all I meant or intended therein, was only a Discourse that one Mr.* Hugh Galbraith *had with me soon after I came to this City, touching a certain Letter of Dr.* Walker's, *which he was told I had, and often was very earnest to have seen or procured it, saying it should be* 50 *pounds in my way, or words to that effect, in case I would procure it for him; neither were any other Proposals or Promises made to me by him or any other in that behalf, or towards any other bespattering or abusing of Mr.* Walker's *Reputation, or to have sworn any thing against him, which was never in the least proposed to me by any Person whatsoever, which I Certifie, as Witness my Hand this* 19th. *day of* May, 1690.

Edw. Curling.

Witness.
Thomas Boulton.

Here the Reader may see by his own Explication, the [*some Persons*] mention'd in the former Certificate, amount only to [*one Mr.* H. G.] And by the way, Mr. *G.* was no more concern'd in the Publishing that Narrative than the Ar. Bp. of *Tuam*, *George Philips* Esq; or Mr. *Wilkinson* himself: Their drawing him by Promises to bespatter and abuse the Reputation of Dr. *W.* amounts to no more than Mr. *G's* encouraging him to expect so much Money for delivering up that Letter (which by the way is a very improbable Story, and expresly deny'd by Mr. *G.* himself.)

And now let the Pamphleteer and the other Gentlemen concern'd in procuring this Certificate clear themselves as well as they can of the guilt of Suborning Mr. *Curling* to express so innocent a practice of Mr. *G's*, as desiring to obtain a real Letter of so considerable importance, by so suspicious words as these; *That some Persons concern'd, as he presumes, in publishing that Narrative, would have drawn him by great Promises to have bespattered and abus'd the Reputation of the said Dr. W.* I am sure this looks in good earnest like shrewd tampering to pervert Truth.

But perhaps the Reader may be curious to know what this Letter of Dr. *W's* was which Mr. *G.* was so solicitous to procure, and what is become of it; to gratifie him therein, I shall give the best account I can of it, from the Relation of Mr. *Curling*, mention'd in the following Certificate, and the rather because it confirms what is said concerning Dr. *W.* in the Narrative, and shews how little regard is due to all the good words Mr. *Curling* has bestow'd on him in his first Certificate.

WE *the Subscribers do certifie, That on the* third *of* February *last, or thereabout; we heard Mr.* Edward Curling (*who had been in* London-derry *during the Siege*) *say publickly on the*

the Exchange, That Mr. George Walker *was never Governour of that Garrison, but only of the Stores; and he further said, that Mr.* Walker *was a great Rogue and Villain, and had endeavoured to betray the said City into the Enemies Hands: And for that end, had Correſponded with Lieutenant General* Richard Hamilton; *which the ſaid* Curling *affirmed, he could prove by a Letter now in his Cuſtody, written by Mr.* Walker *to the ſaid Lieutenant General* Hamilton, *the which Letter he offered to ſhew to Mr.* John Mackenzie, *and us the Subſcribers at ſix a Clock that Evening, in expectation whereof Mr.* John Mackenzie *and we waited on him at the time and place appointed, and he accordingly met us, and having ſearched many papers he had in his Portmanteu, found not the Letter, for which he appeared to be much troubled, declaring that he believed that Captain* Godfrey (*to whom he had given the Key of his Portmanteu that morning, to get out ſome Cloaths he had in it*) *had Stolen the Letter; notwithſtanding the ſaid* Edward Curling *very ſolemnly declared, that he fully remembred the Contents of the ſaid Letter, and could prove the ſame by divers that had ſeen it. The Contents of which Letter he ſolemnly Affirmed, were as followeth,* viz. Mr. Walker *firſt excuſed himſelf for not performing his Engagements to the ſaid Lieutenant General, ſhewing that the Mobile were in a great Tumult and Rage againſt him; but he hoped they would be ſoon quieted, faithfully promiſing that he would perform his Engagement, only requeſted the Lieutenant General's Patience for a little time, and that he confidently expected the Lieutenant General would not fail the payment of the five hundred pounds; and the ſecuring his Life and Fortune, and procure King* James's *favour to him. The Contents of this Letter as related here, he promiſed to Swear before any Magiſtrate in the City of* London, *if deſired. All which was Mr.* Curling's *own voluntary Propoſal (neither expected nor deſired by us) upon our firſt meeting with him in* London. *Subſcribed this 7th. of* May. 1690.

John Abernethy.
Hugh Galbraith.

And now unleſs the Letter it ſelf cou'd be produc't, we cannot expect any clearer Evidence what the Contents of it were, than theſe two laſt Certificates compar'd together. And as I hope Dr. *Walker*'s Advocate will no more upbraid me with Mr. *Curling*'s Certificate, ſo he muſt thank his own folly (to ſay no worſe) that his ſenſeleſs Triumphs upon it have made it neceſſary to lay open this whole matter, which indeed dos ſufficiently beſparter, but (if Mr. *Curling* his own Witneſs may be believ'd) dos no way abuſe, *i. e.* injure or wrong the Reputation of Dr. *W.*

For the *Appendix* relating to Captain *James Hamilton*, and the paſſage concerning him in Sir *Arthur Rawdon*'s Memoirs; I need only quote Sir *Arthur Rawdon*'s own ingenuous Reply in a Letter to a perſon of Quality, a Friend of his in Town.

—— *There is one Paſſage in that Pamplet, becauſe it belongs ſomething to me, I beg leave to take notice of, which is about* Lundy's *being ſworn aboard the Ship: In the firſt place I muſt needs ſay, I meant no particular Reflection on Coll.* James Hamilton, *whoſe forwardneſs every where, eſpecially at* Cladyfoord, *are too great demonſtrations of his Zeal and Integrity to the Cauſe, to be at all blemiſhed; but as it was matter of Fact, I could not omit it; for being accidentally in that Ship with* Will. Ponſonby *and others, that I have forgot, and* Lundy *coming aboard, after ſome ſmall Diſcourſe we were told they had private Buſineſs, ſo that we withdrew out of the Cabin, and ſtayed above Deck with Capt.* Beverly, *'till we were wet with rain; what was done in the Cabin in the mean time I know not, nor did I hear 'till next day, that moſt People were diſſatisfied with* Lundy *for refuſing to take the Oath publickly again, tho much preſſed to it, particularly by Coll.* George Philips; *and tho now the excuſe is, that the Mayor was a Papiſt, yet they found a Proteſtant Mayor there who Proclaimed the King, &c. namely, Mr.* Campfie. *There is a Miſtake of mine taken notice of too in the Book,* viz. *ſaying that the Officers Civil and Military, &c. which was from my not remembring the Inſtructions, which I never heard but once. I find in the Printed Book, inſerted in my Memoirs,* That the Biſhop was by at the Proclaiming the King, *&c. tho I ſuppoſe I meant the Mayor, but the Miſtake is not great, and of no conſequence. I beg your Pardon for this trouble, &c.* ——

Arth. Rawdon.

I ſhall only add here, that as all that Sir *Arthur Rawdon's* Memoir ſaith about the Swearing of *Lundy*, is, that if he were Sworn, it was very privately, ſo this may very well conſiſt with the Truth of what Captain *Marvin* and Captain *Corry* Certifie: And yet his refuſing to Swear publickly in thoſe Circumſtances, was a very ſuſpicious ſign of his ill intentions, and therefore juſtly taken notice of.

For the grave Letter at the end, I ſee nothing in it of Argument againſt any thing in the Narrative.

rative. For sure 'tis but a sorry proof of Dr. *Walker*'s being Governour of the Garrison, that some who were at first so Charitable as to believe what he pretended to, altered their Sentiments when better informed; but that this was owing to any mistake about his perswasion, is only an idle fancy of the Writers. But sure those have little reason to complain of Dr. *Walker*'s Account being Attributed to the *A. B.* of *T.* who with far greater Confidence, father the Preface to my Narrative on Mr. *B.* and thence take occasion to say whatever their Wit and Malice cou'd suggest against him. But how little either of 'em cou'd furnish 'em with to his prejudice, appears by what this Pamphleteer has said. For he is forc't here to renew the same Accusation he had brought in the Remarks on Mr. *Osborn*'s Vindication, *viz.* That when Dr. *King* and Dean *Manby* were picqueering, he took up a Flail, and thresh'd them both, and while the Dr. was engag'd in a Duel with a pernicious Apostate from the Protestant Religion, he came behind his Back and stab'd him, only because he incidentally reflected on that perswasion; whereof Mr. *B.* is ambitious to be the Celebrated Champion. And in his Remarks on Mr. *Osborn*'s Vindication, he saith, he could tell Mr. *B.* what harm his Book did the Protestants, and what use the Papists made of it against the Church at that time.

Now if this Pamphleteer's passion had not blinded his Wit, he wou'd in prudence have conceal'd what casts so unhappy a Reflection on some of his own Coat, but can never lessen the Reputation of Mr. *Boyse* in the Judgment of any but such ignorant Bigotts as himself. For since he will bring that matter on the Stage, the plain Truth was this.

Dean *Manby* printed his Considerations, that mov'd him to change his Religion, which were nothing else but the old Banter about the Mission of Protestant Bishops and Priests reviv'd. Dr. K. in his Answer, chose to insist on such principles as were only calculated to defend the Mission of the English Bishops and their Clergy, but left the Ministers of other Protestant Churches (that had not Bishops for their Reformers) in the lurch: Nay, he said down such Notions as made Church-Rebels of them, as well as the Dissenting Ministers, (whom with their Flocks he expresly excluded from the Catholick Church.) Mr. *B.* wrote Reflections on both these Papers, wherein he laid down the true and common Notions of Protestant Writers about Mission, and on those endeavour'd to justifie the Reformed Ministry abroad, and particularly those at home: And now can this Scribbler have the Impudence to pretend that that Book shou'd injure the Protestants and give the Papists advantage, which vindicates the Mission of all the Ministers of Protestant Churches (those of the Ch. of *England* included as much as any) from the Schismatical Principles of the Papists on the one hand, that deny the validity of all Protestant Orders, and those of Mr. *Dodwell* and his Followers on the other, that deny the validity of any Orders but what are derived from Diocesan Bishops. If Mr. *B*—'s Flail did on this occasion thresh 'em both, it was because both deserv'd it: Nor did he stab Dr. K. but rather warded off the stab which his unhappy Notions wou'd have given, not only to his Brethren in *Ireland*, but those in *France*, *Piedmont*, &c. too, and all out of a narrow Zeal for the Church of *England*. I shall only add that Mr. *B.* was so far from opposing any just endeavours then used to stemm the Tide of Popery, that he preach'd as many Sermons against it in the late King *James*'s Reign as perhaps any one Clergyman in that Kingdom.

And on this occasion I can heartily joyn with the Writer of this Epistle in his Prayers, that God would rebuke that Spirit of bitterness and evil-speaking that exposes us to the scorn of our common Adversary, and contempt of all: And I cou'd wish he had given some good Advice of that kind both to this Pamphleteer, and to the A. B. of *T.* who in a Sermon preach'd at *Windsor*, 1684. and since printed, vents his Passion in such expressions as these, *p.* 32. But while I am speaking of these things, methinks I hear a Voice saying to me, as to the Prophet, *Son of Man, seest thou what they do, they of the Church of* Rome? *Turn thee yet again, and thou shalt see greater abominations, A People*, &c. And so he goes on to describe the Dissenters. And so *p.* 35, 36. —— *In return of all which, I hear her* (speaking of the Church of *England*) *crying out in the words, and with the tears and compassion of our Blessed Saviour, O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the Prophets*, &c. *O ye of the Forreign Reformation, how often would I have gathered you under the wings of my Communion, and cover'd or excus'd your defects, but ye wou'd not, but now these things are hid from your eyes, and your house is left to you desolate. And O ye of the Domestick separation, how often would I have gathered you, but so often have I stretcht forth my hands to a gainsaying and disobedient People*: And the same Person in a Sermon preach'd at *Bow*-Church, *Oct.* 23. 1689. speaks to the same purpose.

P. 15. *That Church* (viz. The Church of *England*)

land) would gather all the parts of the Proteſtant Religion under her wings as a Hen doth her Chickens, but they would not: But as ſoon as the Sun ſhines, and the Bird of prey is removed, ſoo many begin again to ſcatter and divide, and quarrel, as if they would pick out their Mothers Eyes, and then one anothers, &c. The Reader may obſerve what a Spirit of meekneſs, healing, and moderation is in the Mouth of this great Prophet, as well as what a Spirit of Truth, Ingenuity and Candor is in the mouth of this ignorant and ſcurrilous Pamphleteer.

For my part, I am not in the leaſt conſcious to my ſelf of having ſaid any thing againſt any Party of Proteſtants; And if ſome have the cunning to intereſt a Party in their Reputation, as if whatever is ſaid to expoſe their Treacheries, were levelled againſt all others that are of their perſwaſion, I cannot help their weakneſſes who ſo groſly miſunderſtand the Deſign of my Narrative. But what I have ſaid concerning two or three particular Perſons, is no more than what was not only true, but neceſſary to have been ſaid, in giving that plain Account of theſe Tranſactions, which the miſrepreſentation of others gave too juſt occaſion for. And if the Pamphleteer will needs draw that perverſe Inference from my Narrative, 'That all the 'brave and glorious Actions in the Siege were 'performed by the Diſſenters, and Coll. *Murray* 'at the Head of 'em, All inglorious Actions, and 'treacherous Attempts are to be imputed to the 'other part of the Garriſon, and principally to 'Dr. *W.* let him look to his Concluſion, for the premiſes are true: But I confeſs I ſhould deny the Inference, becauſe ſeveral of the Officers that are much commended, were of the Church of *England*, thô but very few of the common Souldiers.

To ſhew further how little Credit is due to Mr. *Squire*'s Certificate, which the Pamphleteer boaſts ſo much of, I ſhall produce Mr. *Squire* to confute himſelf in the following Certificate, though not in its due place, becauſe it came but late to my hands.

I *Do hereby Certifie that* Gervaſe Squire, *Eſq; of the City of* Derry, *did ſoon after his coming over for* England, *upon my enquiry concerning Mr.* Walker, *inform me, that he was not Governour of the ſaid City, but Coll.* Baker, *and that he had only the Stores committed to his Truſt. Given under my hand this* 14th. *Day of* June, 1690.

Gervaſe Byfeld.

I ſhould not have taken notice of another ſmall Miſtake relating to *Derry*, ſuggeſted in Mr. *Walker*'s Narrative, if it had not been alſo inſerted in a Letter, ſubſcribed *H. R.* (probably *Hugh Rowley*) ſet down in Mr. *Cox*'s Hiſtory of *Ireland*, Part 2*d*. that Mr. *Phillips* ſhould have ſent to the Citizens of *Derry* on approach of the *Iriſh* Forces to ſhut their Gates, and that they accordingly did ſo; aſcribing that to him which was inconſiſtent with the Citys Declaration, Letters ſubſcrib'd by himſelf, in my Narrative mention'd, and the Account given by thoſe who were principally concern'd in that Affair.

Having ſaid ſo much to clear my Narrative from the Aſperſions caſt upon it by this idle Pamphlet, I think fit to deſire the Author if he ſcribble again, to be ſo honeſt as to ſet his Name to it, for I ſhall not think my ſelf concern'd to encounter any longer with Spectres and Hobgoblins.

Any Perſon that hath a deſire to ſee the Originals of theſe Certificates, may find them at my Lodging, at the Golden Ball *and* Tobacco-roll *in* Clements-lane, *near* Lumbard-ſtreet.

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY 1870 BRADSHAW COLL.

FINIS.